

# Fibred products of number systems

Christiaan van de Woestijne  
Lehrstuhl für Mathematik und Statistik  
Montanuniversität Leoben, Austria

Supported by the FWF, project S9611

Numération 2011  
Liège, 6-10 June 2011

# Simultaneous number systems

Defined in Indlekofer-Kátai-Racsó (1992). Example:

$$100 = (153344)_{(-3,-4)}.$$

How do we find this?

$$\begin{aligned} (100, 100) &\xrightarrow{4} \left( \frac{100-4}{-3}, \frac{100-4}{-4} \right) = (-32, -24) \\ &\xrightarrow{4} (12, 7) \xrightarrow{3} (-3, -1) \xrightarrow{3} (2, 1) \xrightarrow{5} (1, 1) \xrightarrow{1} (0, 0). \end{aligned}$$

To find (for example) the digit 5, we need a digit  $d$  such that

$$\begin{cases} d \equiv 2 \pmod{3}; \\ d \equiv 1 \pmod{4}. \end{cases}$$

For this, of course, we use the **Chinese remainder theorem**.

**Theorem** Every integer has exactly one double expansion like this, with digits  $\{0, \dots, 11\}$ .

# Simultaneous number systems

Question: given distinct integers  $N_1, \dots, N_k$  with  $|N_i| \geq 2$ , does every integer  $a$  admit a set of representations of the form

$$a = \sum d_i N_j^i \quad (j = 1, \dots, k)?$$

If so, then we have a **simultaneous number system**.

**Theorem** (IKR) The only possibility is  $k = 2$ , with  $N_1 + 1 = N_2 \leq -2$ .

Why?!

**Theorem** (Pethő) If  $(a_1, a_2)$  is representable, then it is **integrally interpolable** by  $(X - N_1, X - N_2)$ : there exists  $f \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$  such that

$$\begin{cases} f \equiv a_1 \pmod{X - N_1}; \\ f \equiv a_2 \pmod{X - N_2}. \end{cases}$$

This leads us to the **Chinese remainder theorem for polynomials**.

# Number systems and pre-number systems

We define a **pre-number system** as a triple  $(V, \phi, \mathcal{D})$ , where

- $V$  is an Abelian group;
- $\phi$  is an endomorphism of  $V$  of finite cokernel;
- $\mathcal{D}$  is a finite subset of  $V$  containing a system of representatives of  $V$  modulo  $\phi(V)$ .

A pre-number system  $(V, \phi, \mathcal{D})$  is a **number system** if there exist finite expansions

$$a = \sum_{i=0}^{\ell} \phi^i(d_i) \quad (d_i \in \mathcal{D})$$

for all  $a \in V$ .

We are ultimately interested in the classification of all number systems.

# Examples

- $(\mathbb{Z}, b, \{0, \dots, |b| - 1\})$  is a pre-number system whenever  $b \neq 0$ , has periodic expansions whenever  $|b| \geq 2$ , and is a number system if and only if  $b \leq -2$ .
- $(\mathbb{Z}[i], b, \{0, \dots, |b|^2 - 1\})$  is a pre-number system whenever  $b \neq 0$ , has periodic expansions whenever  $|b| > 1$ , and is a number system if and only if  $b = -a \pm i$ , for some  $a \in \mathbb{N}$ .
- $(\mathbb{Z}[X]/((X - 5)(X - 7)), X, \{1, -1, 3, -3, 5, X, X - 2, -X + 2, X - 4, -X + 4, X - 6, -X + 6, X - 8, -X + 8, -X + 10, 2X - 7, 2X - 9, -2X + 9, 2X - 11, -2X + 11, 2X - 13, -2X + 13, -2X + 15, 3X - 14, 3X - 16, -3X + 16, -3X + 18, 3X - 18, -3X + 20, 4X - 21, 4X - 23, -4X + 23, -4X + 25, 5X - 28, -5X + 30\})$  is a number system (proof: to come!).

## Example: the odd digits

**Theorem** Assume  $V = \mathbb{Z}$  and  $\phi$  is multiplication by some integer  $b$ . Let  $b$  be odd,  $|b| \geq 3$ , and let

$$\mathcal{D}_{\text{odd}} := \{-|b| + 2, -|b| + 4, \dots, -1, 1, \dots, |b| - 2, b\}.$$

This is a valid digit set for all odd  $b$ .

For  $b = 3$ : it's  $\{-1, 1, 3\}$ . We get  $0 = 3 \cdot 1 + (-1) \cdot 3$ .

$a$	$(a)_{3,\text{odd}}$	$a$	$(a)_{3,\text{odd}}$	$a$	$(a)_{3,\text{odd}}$	$a$	$(a)_{3,\text{odd}}$
0	$\overline{13}$	5	$\overline{111}$	-1	$\overline{1}$	-6	$\overline{1133}$
1	1	6	13	-2	$\overline{11}$	-7	$\overline{111}$
2	$\overline{11}$	7	$\overline{111}$	-3	$\overline{113}$	-8	$\overline{1131}$
3	3	8	$\overline{31}$	-4	$\overline{11}$	-9	$\overline{113}$
4	11	9	$\overline{113}$	-5	$\overline{111}$	-10	$\overline{1131}$

# Properties

If  $(V, \phi, \mathcal{D})$  is a number system, then we call  $\mathcal{D}$  a **valid digit set** for  $(V, \phi)$ .

If  $\mathcal{D}$  contains elements that are congruent modulo  $\phi(V)$ , we call it **redundant**, otherwise **irredundant**.

**Theorem** (Okazaki/CvdW) If  $(V, \phi, \mathcal{D})$  is a number system, then

$$V \cong V^{\text{tor}} \times H \text{ where } H \cong V/V^{\text{tor}}.$$

Also,  $H$  is a subgroup of a finite-dimensional  $\mathbb{Q}$ -vector space, so  $\phi$  can be given by a finite-dimensional matrix over  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

Today, we consider  $V$  of the form  $\mathbb{Z}[X]/(P)$ , with  $P \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$  non-constant, or closely related groups.

# Direct product

Note that when  $(V, \phi, \mathcal{D})$  and  $(W, \psi, \mathcal{E})$  are pre-number systems, the **direct product**  $(V \times W, \phi \times \psi, \mathcal{D} \times \mathcal{E})$  is well-defined.

Suppose  $(V, \phi, \mathcal{D})$  and  $(W, \psi, \mathcal{E})$  are number systems. What about the direct product? Example: suppose we have

$$\begin{aligned} a &= d_0 + \phi d_1 + \phi^2 d_2 + \dots + \phi^\ell d_\ell; \\ b &= e_0 + \psi e_1 + \psi^2 e_2 + \dots + \psi^\ell e_\ell + \psi^{\ell+1} e_{\ell+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Then we write:

$$(a, b) = (d_0, e_0) + (\phi, \psi)(d_1, e_1) + \dots + (\phi^\ell, \psi^\ell)(d_\ell, e_\ell) + ???$$

and now we are stuck, because of the differing lengths. Can we use padding with zeros (zero periods) to make them equal?

**Theorem** Every number system has a zero period. If that of  $(V, \phi, \mathcal{D})$  has length  $\ell$  and that of  $(W, \psi, \mathcal{E})$  length  $m$ , then  $(V \times W, \phi \times \psi, \mathcal{D} \times \mathcal{E})$  is a number system if and only if  $(\ell, m) = 1$ .

# Projections

Suppose  $f$  is a CNS polynomial, so

$$(\mathbb{Z}[X]/(f), X, \{0, \dots, |f(0)| - 1\})$$

is a number system. If  $f = f_1 f_2$ , then trivially also

$$(\mathbb{Z}[X]/(f_i), X, \{0, \dots, |f(0)| - 1\}) \quad (i = 1, 2)$$

are number systems (with possibly **redundant** digit sets): if

$$a = \sum_{i=0}^{\ell} d_i X^i \pmod{f},$$

then the same expansion is true modulo  $f_1$  and  $f_2$ .

Can we go in the other direction? What is the relation with the direct product

$$\left( \frac{\mathbb{Z}[X]}{f_1}, X, \mathcal{D}_1 \right) \times \left( \frac{\mathbb{Z}[X]}{f_2}, X, \mathcal{D}_2 \right)?$$

# The Chinese Remainder Theorem

Everybody knows this formulation: if  $(n, m) = 1$ , then

$$\mathbb{Z}/nm\mathbb{Z} \cong \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}.$$

How about this one: if  $(f, g) = 1$ , with  $f, g \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ , then

$$\mathbb{Z}[X]/(fg) \cong \mathbb{Z}[X]/(f) \times \mathbb{Z}[X]/(g) ?$$

This is **false** in general! In  $\mathbb{Q}[X]$  it works, because  $\mathbb{Q}[X]$  is a PID, but  $\mathbb{Z}[X]$  is not a PID. The correct statement is

$$\mathbb{Z}[X]/(fg) \cong \mathbb{Z}[X]/(f) \times_{\mathbb{Z}[X]/(f,g)} \mathbb{Z}[X]/(g),$$

where given maps  $A \xrightarrow{\mu} C \xleftarrow{\nu} B$ , the **fibred product**  $A \times_C B$  is defined as

$$\{(a, b) \in A \times B \mid \mu(a) = \nu(b)\}.$$

# Really coprime polynomials

We have  $\mathbb{Z}[X]/(fg) \cong \mathbb{Z}[X]/(f) \times_{\mathbb{Z}[X]/(f,g)} \mathbb{Z}[X]/(g)$ .

Now suppose  $(f, g) = (1)$  (let's call this **really coprime**); then  $\mathbb{Z}[X]/(f, g)$  is the **zero ring**, so the fibred product is just the direct product.

Recall that there exist  $u, v \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$  with  $uf + vg = \text{Res}(f, g)$ . Thus:

**Theorem** Suppose  $f, g \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$  have  $\text{Res}(f, g) = 1$ . Then  $(f, g) = (1)$ . If the leading coefficients are coprime in  $\mathbb{Z}$ , then the converse also holds, because then we have  $|\mathbb{Z}[X]/(f, g)| = |\text{Res}(f, g)|$ .

But (Myerson): let  $f = 2X + 1$  and  $g = 2X + (1 + 2^e)$  for some  $e \geq 1$ . Then  $\text{Res}(f, g) = 2^e$ , but  $(f, g) = (1)$ .

In general,  $\mathbb{Z}[X]/(f, g)$  has a **complicated structure**! Can be determined using **strong Gröbner bases** over  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

## Conclusion (first try)

**Theorem** If  $(\mathbb{Z}[X]/(f_i), X, \mathcal{D}_i)$ , for  $i = 1, 2$ , are number systems, with coprime zero period lengths, and  $(f_1, f_2) = 1$ , then

$$(\mathbb{Z}[X]/(f_1), X, \mathcal{D}_1) \times (\mathbb{Z}[X]/(f_2), X, \mathcal{D}_2) \cong (\mathbb{Z}[X]/(f_1 f_2), X, \mathcal{E})$$

with  $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{D}_1 \times \mathcal{D}_2$  via the CRT.

Of course, when we reduce  $\mathcal{E}$  modulo  $f_i$ , we should get  $\mathcal{D}_i$ . So unfortunately we conclude that even when  $\mathcal{D}_1$  and  $\mathcal{D}_2$  are the canonical digits,

$$\mathcal{E} \neq \{0, 1, \dots, |f_1(0)f_2(0) - 1|\}$$

(the canonical digits for  $f_1 f_2$ )!

# Not really coprime polynomials

We still have  $\mathbb{Z}[X]/(fg) \cong \mathbb{Z}[X]/(f) \times_{\mathbb{Z}[X]/(f,g)} \mathbb{Z}[X]/(g)$ .

Try to extend this to number systems, so assume we have digits  $\mathcal{D}_i$ , and try to form digits modulo  $fg$  using the isomorphism.

It follows that  $d \equiv d' \pmod{(f,g)}$  for all  $d, d' \in \mathcal{D}_1 \cup \mathcal{D}_2!!!$

In particular,  $\mathcal{D}_1$  and  $\mathcal{D}_2$  **cannot contain 0**... this would mean that all digits are in the ideal  $(f,g)$ , and hence we could only expand elements of this ideal!

Let's try an example:  $f = X - 3$ ,  $g = X - 5$ , so  $(f,g) = (2)$  and

$$\mathbb{Z}[X]/(f,g) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}.$$

It follows that all digits must be 1 modulo 2! But wait...

## A worked example

We have the **odd digits**  $\{-1, 1, 3\}$  for  $X - 3$  and  $\{-3, -1, 1, 3, 5\}$  for  $X - 5$ . Now use the Chinese Remainder Theorem, and get digits

$\{1, -1, 3, -3, X, 3X - 10, -X + 4, 2X - 5, -3X + 12, X - 4, -2X + 9, -X + 2, 2X - 7, -X + 6, X - 2, -2X + 7\}$

for  $(X - 3)(X - 5)$ .

Using some more technical stuff, we will show that **this digit set is valid**.

Necessity: if we had digits 1 and 2, respectively, the isomorphism gives  $\frac{1}{2}(X - 1)$ , which is not integral, and this residue class is uniquely determined, by the CRT for  $\mathbb{Q}[X]$ .

# Technical stuff

Following the map of reduction modulo  $(f, g)$ , we obtain a number system in the **finite ring**  $R = \mathbb{Z}[X]/(f, g)$ ; as we have seen, we assume **there is just one digit**  $d$  in this number system.

So all possible expansions are  $d, d + dX, d + dX + dX^2, \dots$ , and **these must cover all elements of  $R$** . It follows that  **$d$  is a unit of  $R$**  and the sequence

$$S : 1, 1 + X, 1 + X + X^2, \dots$$

**has period  $|R|$** .

These conditions are obviously fulfilled in the example:  $d = 1$ , and  $X \equiv 3 \equiv 1$  as well, so  $1$  and  $1 + X = 0$  cover  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ .

Finally,  $0 = (-1, 3)_{3, \text{odd}} = (-1, 5)_{5, \text{odd}}$ , and the gcd of these lengths is 2.

## One-sidedly linear case

From now on, suppose  $f$  and  $g$  are monic nonconstant and  $f = X - a$  is linear. Then we know that

$$\mathbb{Z}[X]/(f, g) \cong \mathbb{Z}/(\text{Res}(f, g)) = \mathbb{Z}/(g(a)).$$

If  $a \equiv 1 \pmod{g(a)}$ , then  $X = 1$  in the ring  $R$ , so of course the sequence  $S$  covers  $R$ .

Put  $s_n = 1 + X + \dots + X^n$ ; we have  $s_{n+1} = Xs_n + 1$ , a linear congruential sequence as used in random number generation.

So, to compute the period of  $S$  we can use results about LCSs (e.g. Knuth): we need  $X \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$  for all primes  $p$  dividing  $|R|$ , and  $X \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$  if 4 divides  $|R|$ .

These conditions only depend on  $f$  and  $g$ , so for example if  $f = X + 4$  and  $g = X + 7$ , there are no valid irredundant digit sets that give rise to a number system modulo  $(X + 4)(X + 7)$ .

## Conclusion (second try)

**Theorem** Let  $f, g \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$  be monic, nonconstant and coprime with  $f = X - a$ ,  $|a| \geq 2$ . Let  $R = \mathbb{Z}[X]/(f, g)$ . Then the Chinese Remainder Theorem yields an isomorphism of number systems

$$(\mathbb{Z}[X]/(fg), X, \mathcal{E}) \cong (\mathbb{Z}, a, \mathcal{D}) \times_R (\mathbb{Z}[X]/(g), X, \mathcal{D}')$$

if and only if

- $\mathcal{E}$  is the inverse image of  $\mathcal{D} \times \mathcal{D}'$  under the CRT isomorphism;
- $(\mathbb{Z}, a, \mathcal{D})$  and  $(\mathbb{Z}[X]/(g), X, \mathcal{D}')$  are number systems with zero cycle lengths  $L$  and  $L'$ , where  $(L, L') = |R|$ ;
- $X \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$  for all primes  $p$  dividing  $|R|$  and  $X \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$  if  $4 \mid |R|$ ;
- there exists  $d_0 \in R^*$  such that  $d \equiv d_0 \pmod{(f, g)}$  for all  $d \in \mathcal{D} \cup \mathcal{D}'$ .

## Back to simultaneous number systems:

**Theorem** The integers  $N_1, \dots, N_k$  form a simultaneous number system with digits  $\{0, \dots, |N_1 \cdots N_k| - 1\}$  if and only if

$$\mathbb{Z}[X]/(X - N_1) \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}[X]/(X - N_k) \cong \frac{\mathbb{Z}[X]}{(X - N_1) \cdots (X - N_k)}.$$

But  $\text{Res}(X - N_i, X - N_j) = N_i - N_j$ , so if all resultants are  $\pm 1$ , we indeed find  $k = 2$  and  $|N_1 - N_2| = 1$ , which is IKR's result.

**Theorem** Let  $f_1, \dots, f_k \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$  be coprime, let  $f = \prod_i f_i$ , and let  $\mathcal{D}$  be a digit set for  $f$ . Then we have a simultaneous number system in  $\mathbb{Z}[X]/(f_i)$ , for  $i = 1, \dots, k$ , with digits  $\mathcal{D}$  if and only if:

- $(\mathbb{Z}[X]/(f), X, \mathcal{D})$  is a number system;
- the  $f_i$  are really coprime.

The last condition ensures that every tuple in  $\bigoplus_{i=1}^k \mathbb{Z}[X]/(f_i)$  is **integrally interpolable**, hence Pethő's result from before.

# Example

**Theorem** For  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$  with  $a \leq -7$ , let

$$f_a = (X - a)(X - a - 1) - 1;$$

$$g_a = f_a + X - a - 1;$$

$$h_a = f_a + X - a - 2.$$

Let  $\mathcal{R} = \{0, 1, \dots, |f_a(0)g_a(0)h_a(0)| - 1\}$ . Then  $f_a$ ,  $g_a$ , and  $h_a$  are irreducible and coprime, and together with  $\mathcal{R}$  define a simultaneous number system.

**Proof:**

We have  $h_a = g_a - 1$ , so trivially  $|\text{Res}(g_a, h_a)| = 1$ , and also:

$$\text{Res}(f_a, g_a) = \text{Res}(f_a, X - a - 1) = f_a(a + 1) = -1;$$

$$\text{Res}(f_a, h_a) = \text{Res}(f_a, X - a - 2) = f_a(a + 2) = 1.$$

If  $a$  is large enough, the coefficients of  $f = f_a g_a h_a$  are strictly increasing, so  $f$  is a CNS polynomial by B. Kovács's criterion!

## Final question

Can anybody give an infinite set of pairwise really coprime polynomials, or even with pairwise resultant  $\pm 1$ ?

My best effort:

$$\{X - 1, X, X^2 - X + 1, X^3 - X + 1, X^4 - X^3 + X^2 - X + 1, X^5 - 2X^3 + 3X^2 - 2X + 1\}.$$

The end