

On the structure of groups supporting a number system

Christiaan van de Woestijne
Institut für Mathematik B
Technische Universität Graz, Austria

Supported by the FWF, project S9606

Numeration: Mathematics and Computer Science
CIRM, Marseille
23–27 March 2009

Abstract

Let G be an abelian group, f an endomorphism of G and D a subset of G such that $\#D = [G : f(G)]$. I call (G, f, D) a number system if every element of G has a finite expansion of the form

$$g = \sum_{i=0}^{\ell} f^i(d_i) \quad (d_i \in D).$$

We may ask which groups G support such a number system. I will give some examples, and prove some finiteness properties that G must have. Next, I will propose the conjecture that G must be a split group, and comment on the possibility to prove this claim.

Part of this work is joint with Ryotaro Okazaki.

Introduction

Considering, for example,

- canonical number systems,
- digital expansions in $\mathbb{F}_q[x]$,
- β -expansions,

we see that many numeration systems share the underlying algebraic structure of an **abelian group with an endomorphism** whose image has finite index.

We will explore this common structure in more detail, to see if this leads to **essentially new numeration systems**.

Definitions

Let G be an abelian group, and $f : G \rightarrow G$ an endomorphism.

Suppose $[G : f(G)]$ is finite, and let $D \subseteq G$ contains a system of representatives for G modulo $f(G)$; so $\#D \geq [G : f(G)]$.

We call (G, f, D) a **number system** if every $g \in G$ has a finite expansion of the form

$$g = \sum_{i=0}^{\ell} f^i(d_i) \quad (d_i \in D).$$

Recall that $g \in G$ is a **torsion element** if there exists an integer $n \neq 0$ such that $ng = 0$. If no such n exists, then g is **torsion-free**. An abelian group G is torsion-free (a torsion group) if all its elements are torsion-free (torsion elements).

Examples

A canonical number system, say $(\mathbb{Z}[\alpha], \alpha, \{0, 1, \dots, |\text{Norm}(\alpha)| - 1\})$ for a suitable algebraic integer α , is an example; here the group $\mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$ is a **finitely generated free abelian group**.

Recall that a finitely generated torsion-free abelian group is automatically free, and is commonly called a (finite-dimensional) **lattice**.

The “function field analogon” of a canonical number system is $(\mathbb{F}[x][y]/(P), y, \{f \in \mathbb{F}[x] : \deg(f) < \deg(P(0))\})$, where \mathbb{F} is a finite field and $P \in \mathbb{F}[x][y]$ is monic. Here the group $\mathbb{F}[x][y]/(P)$ is an infinite-dimensional vector space over \mathbb{F} ; it is a **torsion group** of finite exponent $p = \text{char } \mathbb{F}$, generated by

$$\{x^i y^j : i \geq 0, 0 \leq j < \deg(P)\}.$$

Main question

A **mixed group** is an abelian group that is neither torsion-free nor a torsion group. A trivial example is

$$\mathbb{Z} \oplus (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}).$$

Let G be an abelian group. The set of all torsion elements of G is a subgroup G^{tor} . If we have

$$G \cong G^{\text{tor}} \oplus H$$

for some subgroup $H \subseteq G$, then G is **split**. In this case, H is isomorphic to the **torsion-free quotient** G/G^{tor} .

By the fundamental theorem, all **finitely generated** abelian groups are split.

Main question: if G supports a number system (G, f, D) , must G be split?

Direct products

If (G, f, D) and (G', f', D') are number systems, then clearly so is

$$(G \times G', f \times f', D \times D').$$

Of course, the underlying group here is split.

There may be number systems in $G \times G'$ where the endomorphism or the digit set is not split, but first we ask if the group itself is split.

If (G, f, D) is a number system and H is an f -invariant subgroup, then

$$(G/H, f, D/H)$$

is also a number system; however, the digit set D become **redundant** modulo H .

Now G^{tor} is certainly f -invariant for any f , so there is an induced number system on G/G^{tor} .

Some finiteness results

Let G be an abelian group supporting a number system (G, f, D) .

Lemma 1: G is countable.

Lemma 2: G is generated by $\{f^i(d) : i \geq 0, d \in D\}$.

Lemma 3: if G is torsion, then G is bounded.

The torsion-free quotient G/G^{tor} is contained in the \mathbb{Q} -vector space $V \cong \mathbb{Q} \otimes G$, and the dimension of V is called the **(torsion-free) rank** of G .

Theorem (Okazaki-vdW) G has finite torsion-free rank and the kernel of f is contained in G^{tor} .

This means that G/G^{tor} is contained in a finite-dimensional \mathbb{Q} -vector space V , and on it, f is given by a finite square matrix with rational entries.

Proof

Assume G is torsion-free.

Let $\Delta = [G : f(G)]$; then for every digit d , $\Delta d = f(d')$ for some d' . Expand these d' in our number system; maximal length L . Let

$$W = \langle f^i(d) : d \in D, 0 \leq i \leq L - 1 \rangle \subseteq G;$$

then $\Delta W \subseteq f(W)$. Thus, f is an isomorphism on $W \otimes \mathbb{Q}$.

It follows that $f^i(d) \in W \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ for all d and **all** i , so

$$G \subseteq W \otimes \mathbb{Q}.$$

But the rank of W is at most $\#D \cdot L$.

Also, if $v \in \ker f$, then the image of f has smaller rank than G , contradiction.

Abelian group theory

Some fascinating theorems:

Theorem (Baer, Fomin) For a torsion group T , the property that every abelian group G with $G^{\text{tor}} \cong T$ is split is equivalent to T being a direct sum of a divisible group and a bounded group.

Theorem (Baer, Griffith) For a torsion-free group H , the property that every abelian group G with $G/G^{\text{tor}} \cong H$ is split is equivalent to H being free.

Unfortunately, if (G, f, D) is a number system, G/G^{tor} need not be free.

Example: $G = \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}]$, with the endomorphism multiplication by $5/2$ and digits $D = \{-2, -1, 0, 1, 2\}$. Here G is itself torsion-free (it is contained in \mathbb{Q}), but not free. In fact, G is **2-divisible**.

Also, we do not know that G^{tor} must be bounded \oplus divisible.

(No) Pathological cases

Let H be a countable torsion-free group and T a torsion group. There is a very technical result by Baer that gives a **necessary and sufficient** condition for $\text{Ext}(H, T)$ to be trivial. (This means that every group G with $G^{\text{tor}} \cong T$ and $G/G^{\text{tor}} \cong H$ is split.)

The first criterion:

if p_1, \dots, p_n, \dots is an infinite set of different primes for which $p_i T$ is strictly contained in T , then H contains no pure subgroup S of finite rank such that H/S has elements $\neq 0$ divisible by all p_i .

This situation can be **excluded**, because our endomorphism f has a finite denominator, and all bad primes must divide it.

(No) pathological cases (2)

The second criterion:

if for some prime p , the reduced part of the p -component of T is unbounded, then H contains no pure subgroup S of finite rank such that H/S has elements $\neq 0$ divisible by all powers of p .

Difficult problem: can we exclude this situation?

If we assume that G has torsion-free rank 1, then if G is nonsplit, the quotient G/G^{tor} must be p -divisible for some bad prime p .

But recall the 2-divisible example I gave earlier.

Some good news

An example from Fuchs' book: let

$$T_p = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\infty} \langle a_i \rangle, \text{ with } |\langle a_i \rangle| = p^{2i};$$
$$b_i = (0, \dots, 0, a_i, pa_{i+1}, p^2a_{i+2}, \dots) \in \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \langle a_i \rangle;$$

now let

$$A_p = \langle T_p, b_1, b_2, \dots \rangle.$$

Then the torsion part T_p is not a direct summand of A_p . Note that

$$A_p/T_p \cong \mathbb{Z}[1/p]^+.$$

Theorem The groups A_p do not support a number system.

Proof of the example.

The b_i , as defined above, are of infinite order and satisfy $pb_{i+1} = b_i - a_i$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots$. Using these relations, it is readily checked that T_p is the torsion part of A_p .

If we had $A_p = T_p \oplus G$ for some subgroup G of A_p , then because $pb_{i+1} \equiv b_i \pmod{T_p}$, the group G would be p -divisible, contrary to the fact that $\prod \langle a_i \rangle$ has no p -divisible subgroups $\neq 0$.

Something on the proof

The main idea of the proof is showing that, if f is an endomorphism of A_p , then its induced endomorphism on A_p/T_p **has no factor p in its denominator**. This means that the successive images of some finitely generated subgroup of A_p/T_p , like the subgroup generated by some finite digit set D , **cannot fill up the whole group**.

If we can make this proof work generally, then we may approach the following (however, a classification of mixed groups is only known for the case of **rank 1**).

Conjecture Any abelian group supporting a number system is split.

Also, if we replace \mathbb{Z} by a more general ground ring \mathcal{E} of dimension 1, we have the analogous conjecture with **abelian groups** replaced by \mathcal{E} -modules.